### Characterizing and Mitigating Phishing Attacks at ccTLD Scale

**Giovane C. M. Moura**<sup>1,2</sup>, Thomas Daniels<sup>3,4</sup>, Maarten Bosteels<sup>3</sup>, Sebastian Castro<sup>5</sup>, Moritz Müller<sup>1,6</sup>, Thymen Wabeke<sup>1</sup>, Thijs van den Hout<sup>1</sup>, Maciej Korczyński<sup>7</sup>, Georgios Smaragdakis<sup>2</sup>

SIDN Labs
 TU Delft
 DNS Belgium
 KU Leuven
 IE Registry
 University of Twente
 University of Grenoble Alps
 2024-10-16
 ACM CCS 2024, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA



### Outline

#### Introduction

Impersonated Companies

Comparing companies among ccTLDs

Phishing mitigation

Call for Action

# Phishing is a major threat on the Internet

- FBI: 300k complaints, US\$160 million in losses in 2022 [1]
- One of most important cyber threats for national security – EU ENISA, US CISA [2, 3]
- Phishing deceive users to provide private data



# Phishing at Three ccTLDs

- 1. First time 3 ccTLDs come together to analyze phishing:
  - The Netherlands' .nl (SIDN)
  - Ireland's .ie (.IE Registry)
  - Belgium's .be (DNSBelgium)
- 2. Longitudinal study (10 years)
- 3. Complete view of the zones
  - ccTLD registries are responsible for running their countries' zone

Expanding phishing characterization with full zone view:

Previous	Ours	
Works		

# Phishing at Three ccTLDs

- 1. First time 3 ccTLDs come together to analyze phishing:
  - The Netherlands' .nl (SIDN)
  - Ireland's .ie (.IE Registry)
  - Belgium's .be (DNSBelgium)
- 2. Longitudinal study (10 years)
- 3. Complete view of the zones
  - ccTLD registries are responsible for running their countries' zone

Expanding phishing characterization with full zone view:

	Previous	Ours	
	Works		
Time	1 year	4-10 years	
Companies	10	1233	
Domains	1.4k	28.7k	

### ccTLDs compared



Table 1: ccTLDs overview.

- Restricted registration **II**: check Irish ID, passport, or business in Ireland
- Open registration ( University in anyone can register a domain

### **Datasets:** Phishing blocklist



 Table 2: Netcraft phishing blocklist dataset

We triangulate the blocklist dataset with ccTLDs' private datasets:

- historical registration database
- Web measurements
- DNS measurements

### **Datasets:** Phishing blocklist



 Table 2: Netcraft phishing blocklist dataset

We triangulate the blocklist dataset with ccTLDs' private datasets:

- historical registration database
- Web measurements
- DNS measurements

# Phishing domains per month



SLD: Second-level domain (example.nl)

### Outline

#### Introduction

#### Impersonated Companies

Comparing companies among ccTLDs

Phishing mitigation

Call for Action

# Do they target mostly national companies?

- Citizens have trust in their ccTLDs
  - Govs use it
- Do attackers exploit this trust for phishing?



- Most impersonated companies are **International**
- So most attackers do not seem to care which TLD they use.
  - Is it really so?

# Do they target mostly national companies?

- Citizens have trust in their ccTLDs
  - Govs use it
- Do attackers exploit this trust for phishing?



- Most impersonated companies are **International**
- So most attackers do not seem to care which TLD they use.
  - Is it really so?

# National companies vs International Companies



We see a pattern:

1. International companies impersonated with old

 $\operatorname{domains}$ 

2. **National** companies impersonated with new domains

# National companies vs International Companies



We see a pattern:

1. International

 $\operatorname{companies}$ 

impersonated with old domains

2. National companies impersonated with new domains







### Same for .be





Table 3: Local and International attack strategies

# Top 10 impersonated companies (.nl zone)

Rank	Company	Domains	Median Age (days)
1	Microsoft	2,319	$2,\!251$
2	PayPal	2,134	1,751
3	ING	1,815	1
4	ICS	$1,\!410$	2
5	Apple	1,276	1,775
6	ABN AMRO 🚍	$1,\!259$	1
7	Google	1,236	$1,\!416$
8	Rabobank 💳	1,222	1
9	Webmail Users	1,054	$2,\!247$
10	Netflix	756	$1,\!653$

Top 10 impersonated companies in phishing attacks on the .nl zone ( $\square$ ).

### Most Popular Market Segments



Only two new phishing domains  $% \left( {{{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathbf{N}}}} \right)$ 

- $\bullet$  .ie = restricted registration policy
- Restricted policy prevents part of the phishing attacks
  - But cannot prevent compromised domain names



### Outline

#### Introduction

#### Impersonated Companies

Comparing companies among ccTLDs

Phishing mitigation

Call for Action

# Impersonated companies per ccTLD

#### 139 companies found in the 3 ccTLDS

- Microsoft
- Apple
- Google
- FeDex
- Banco Santander 드
- Maersk
- Full list in [4]
  - extended version of the paper



Venn diagram of impersonated companies.

# Impersonated companies per ccTLD

#### 247 companies found in .nl and .be

- Many companies operate in both countries
- Cultural, language, and economic ties



• Rest intersections in paper



Venn diagram of impersonated companies.

### Outline

#### Introduction

#### Impersonated Companies

Comparing companies among ccTLDs

Phishing mitigation

Call for Action

### From characterization to Mitigation

- Phishing mitigation *is not* a single event
- Different parties can mitigate it independently
  - registrant (example.nl)  $\rightarrow$  Registrar (GoDaddy)  $\rightarrow$  Registry (SIDN)



### From characterization to Mitigation

- Phishing mitigation *is not* a single event
- Different parties can mitigate it independently
  - registrant (example.nl)  $\rightarrow$  Registrar (GoDaddy)  $\rightarrow$  Registry (SIDN)



# DNS mitigation and ccTLD policy: new domains



• .be suspend new domains ASAP

- .nl notifies registrars, hosting who take action
- Rest is mitigated at Web level

# Phishing Mitigation at DNS: Old Domains



- Most old domains are compromised
  - Web mitigation is preferred
- Exceptions: aged domains

# DNS vs Web Mitigation speed

Web mitigation is faster than DNS mitigation

DNS: 50–60% first 24h Web: 50–60% first (



(a) DNS mitigation: Domain suspension

(b) Web mitigation

# DNS vs Web Mitigation speed

Web mitigation is faster than DNS mitigation

DNS: 50–60% first 24h

Web: 50-60% first 6h



(c) DNS mitigation: Domain suspension

(d) Web mitigation

# DNS vs Web Mitigation speed

Web mitigation is faster than DNS mitigation

DNS: 50–60% first 24h

Web: 50–60% first 6h



(e) DNS mitigation: Domain suspension

(f) Web mitigation

### Outline

#### Introduction

#### Impersonated Companies

Comparing companies among ccTLDs

Phishing mitigation

Call for Action

- 1. More research on compromised domains
  - Most phishing is compromised (80%)
  - Most research focuses on new domains
- 2. Revisit registration and abuse policies for registries
  - Registries discussing results internally



# Summary

Three EU ccTLDs on the largest phishing characterization study

- 1. Two main attacker types:
  - National companies  $\rightarrow$  new domains
  - Intl'  $\rightarrow$  old, compromised domains
- 2. Policy impact on mitigation:
  - .ie's restricted registration prevents new phishing domains
  - .be registry does most of DNS mitigation.
  - .nl's registrars do most of DNS mitigation
- 3. Call for action on compromised domains



NOS Nieuws + Zaterdag 25 mei, 06:51

₾

Binnen uur een ton kwijt: phishing-slachtoffers doen hun verhaal

Real phishing victims in the Netherlands go on the record Source: NOS.nl

- US Federal Bureau of Investigation, Internet Crime Complaint Center. Internet Crimer Report. https://www.ic3.gov/Media/PDF/AnnualReport/2023\_IC3Report.pdf, 2023.
- [2] European Union Agency for Cybersecurity. ENISA Threat Landscape 2023.

https:

//www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/enisa-threat-landscape-2023, 2023.

### References ii

[3] European Union Agency for Cybersecurity.
 Malware, Phishing, and Ransomware.
 https:

//www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/enisa-threat-landscape-2023, 2024.

[4] Giovane C. M. Moura, Thomas Daniels, Maarten Bosteels, Sebastian Castro, Moritz Müller, Thymen Wabeke, Thijs van den Hout, MacIej Korczyński, and G. Smaragdakis.

Characterizing and Mitigating Phishing Attacks at ccTLD Scale (extended), volume EWI-TR-2024-1.

# Delft University of Technology, Faculteit Elektrotechniek, Wiskunde en Informatica, 2024.